University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

Jessica Sieg, PharmD, Dianne Osiemo, PharmD, BCPS, Nicholas Link, PharmD, MBA, BCOP, Samuel Porter, PharmD, BCOP, Sara Caudill, PharmD, MBA, MHA

Introduction

- Up to 1/3rd of cancer patients will experience hypercalcemia
- Providers are encouraged at University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center to use the hypercalcemia of malignancy order set

Hypercalcemia of Malignancy Order set		
Order set Input	Order set (
Mild	Normal Saline	
Mild + Symptomatic	Pamidronate 90 mg x	
Moderate	Pamidronate 90 mg x	
Moderate + Symptomatic	Pamidronate 90 mg x	
Severe	Zoledronic acid 4 mg	
Severe + Symptomatic	Zoledronic acid 4 mg pamidronate 90 mg x	
Bisphosphonate Refractory or Contraindication	Denosumab + calcitor	

*Pamidronate 60 mg IV once given if CrCL<30 mL/min

Corrected Calcium: < 12 mg/dL mild, 12-14 mg/dL moderate, >14 mg/dL severe

Methods

- This is a single-center, retrospective chart review conducted from September 1st, 2021 to September 1st, 2023
- All objectives were recorded during an admission for which patients were diagnosed with hypercalcemia:
- **Primary:** Use of hypercalcemia of malignancy order set
- Secondary: Corrected calcium at 72 H after bisphosphonate use

		I
	Inclusion Criteria	Exclus
•	Age 18-89 years old	 Bisphos
•	Hypercalcemia diagnosed inpatient	for an in
•	Cancer diagnosis	than hyp

Results

Table 1. Demographics	All Pa
Age, years, median (IQR)	68
Male Sex, n (%)	
Primary Malignancy Site, n (%) Hematologic* Breast Lung Other**	
Hypercalcemia Chief Complaint, n (%)	-
Mild Hypercalcemia, n (%)	
Moderate Hypercalcemia, n (%)	•
Severe Hypercalcemia, n (%)	

*3 Multiple Myeloma **9 Genitourinary, 6 carcinoma, 3 sarcoma, 2 gynecologic, 2 pancreatic, 1 liver

Evaluation of Bisphosphonate use in Hypercalcemia of Malignancy at a Tertiary Care Medical Center

Algorithm

Output

- + calcitonin*
- x1 or
- + calcitonin*

sion Criteria

phonates used dication other percalcemia

tients N=42

- 8 (61-75)
- 20 (48)
- 7 (17)
- 6 (14)
- 6 (14)
- 23 (55)
- 18 (43)
- 9 (21)
- 18 (43)
- 15 (36)

C

Primary Figure 1. Bisphospho Order set used, n=8



Appropriate Inappropriate

Table 2. Inappropriate Bisphos per Order set Algorithn

Bisphosphonate omitted when indi Dose selected is lower than recom

Bisphosphonate given when NOT Zoledronic acid given when pamid Pamidronate 90 mg indicated Pamidronate 60 mg indicated

Duplicate orders (1 inside order se

*1 additional patient not accounted for in the 6 did not have a bisphosphonate ordered within the order set but a bisphosphonate was ordered later outside order set as indicated

Figure 2. Order set Input, N=12



Secondary Objective

Figure 3. Average Corrected Calcium & Serum **Creatinine Before & After Bisphosphonate**



Results		
mary Objective		Fig
nosphonate Orders, N=	=32	
Order set not	used, n=24	Low Dose Bisph
54%		Duplicate Bisph
	46%	Zoledroni
ate Appropriate	Inappropriate	
phosphonate Orders orithm, n (%)	All Patients N=42	Pamidro
en indicated	6 (14)*	
recommended	4 (10)	Pamidro
NOT indicated	3 (7)	
camidronate indicated: ed ed	7 (17) 6 (14) 1 (2)	Both in & outs *Patients with duplics
der set,1 outside)	2 (5)	

- Appropriate order set input
- Inappropriate order set input
- Symptoms inappropriately omitted
- Bisphosphonate contraindication inappropriately selected
- Corrected calcium inappropriately marked as severe when moderate

lable 3. Nor

- Calcitonin order Doses adminis
- Denosumab ord

Conclusions & Future Opportunities

- was indicated





Candidate

Results

gure 4. Bisphosphonate Orders, N=32



side order set Outside order set Inside order set ate orders counted twice (1 count for initial order & 1 for duplicate order)

n-Bisphosphonate Orders	All Patients N=42
s, n (%)	19 (45)
stered, median (IQR)	2 (2-3)
ers, n (%)	1 (2)

• Bisphosphonate orders were placed via order set in <25% of patients (>50% followed order set algorithm with appropriate input) • When bisphosphonate orders were placed outside of the order set, the majority did not follow the order set algorithm:

Bisphosphonates were more likely to be given when not indicated Zoledronic acid was ordered more frequently when pamidronate

• Duplicate orders were entered outside of the order set • Future opportunities include providing education to increase awareness of the order set, ensure order set is user-friendly/ address barriers to use, & re-assess the role of zoledronic acid versus pamidronate within the order set

Disclosure & References

Authors of this presentation have no financial interests to disclose.

1. Lewis MA, et al. Oncologic emergencies: Pathophysiology, presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011 Sep-Oct;61(5):287-314.

2. Goldner W. Cancer-Related Hypercalcemia. J Oncol Pract. 2016 May;12(5):426-32. 3. El-Hajj Fuleihan G, et al. Treatment of Hypercalcemia of Malignancy in Adults: Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2023 Feb 15;108(3):507-528.